



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 57 No. 11

26th March 2021

IN THIS ISSUE

Towards The One Party State By Arnis Luks	1
The High Water Mark of Past Eras	2
Absolute Monarchy to Absolute Parliament	3
The Foundations Of Liberty By Rev AG Fellows	4

Thought For The Week: God save the Queen : Prince of Greece and Denmark

On March 29 1941; 80 years ago; a young naval officer was a spotter on board HMS Valiant, a battleship in the Royal Navy. Following the interception of Italian signals by the Government Code and Cypher School (GC&CS) at Bletchley Park, ships of the Royal Navy and Royal Australian Navy, under the command of the Royal Navy's Admiral Sir Andrew Cunningham, intercepted and sank or severely damaged several ships of the Italian Regia Marina under Squadron-Vice-Admiral Angelo Iachino. ** The young officer mentioned in dispatches and commended for his bravery was 19 year old Philippos Andreou of Schleswig Holstein-Sonderberg- Glucksberg, Prince of Greece and Denmark. The world knows him today as Prince Philip, Duke of Edinburgh and royal consort to Queen Elizabeth II. He will turn 100 on 10th June 2021. Prince Philip retired from his royal duties on 2 August 2017, aged 96. Since 1952 he had completed 22,219 solo engagements. ** The Battle of Cape Matapan (Greek: Ναυμαχία του Ταϊνάρου) was a Second World War naval engagement between British Imperial and Axis forces, fought from 27–29 March 1941.

Princess of England

Five months before her future husband was engaged in this life and death struggle off the coast of Greece, a young Princess Elizabeth, aged 14, gave her first broadcast directed specifically towards the children of the then British Commonwealth. At that time the Commonwealth stood almost alone against tyranny. Churchill's leadership will be remembered as long as history is faithfully told. He was supported by King George and his indomitable wife Queen Elizabeth (the Queen Mother). Both the King and his wife refused to leave London for safety - their two daughters would also stay with them. Buckingham Palace was damaged in a bombing raid. Queen Elizabeth (the Queen Mother) said it "allowed her to look the East End proudly in the face".

The young Princess Elizabeth finished her broadcast to the children of the Commonwealth of Nations as follows: "We know everyone of us, that in the end all will be well: for God will care for us and give us victory and peace. And when peace comes, remember it will be for us, the children of today to make the world of tomorrow a better and happier place. My sister is by my side and we are both going to say goodnight to you. Come on Margaret. Goodnight children"

From before that day, 13th October 1940, our future Queen and her Prince have led a life of service and sacrifice. Queen Elizabeth II reigns over the Commonwealth of Nations, comprised of 54 member states with a population of 2.5 billion people. Her commitment to the Commonwealth has had the most profound effect on the well-being of her people. It could well be argued that under her stewardship no family on earth has done more to improve the lives of people of colour.

The Duke is spending his 4th week in hospital having undergone heart surgery. We wish him well.

God Save our Queen.

TOWARDS THE ONE PARTY STATE By Arnis Luks

This past month saw a pre-planned capitulation of her Majesty's Loyal Opposition during the Western Australian election campaign to successfully hand political power for possibly an unspecified tenure to the centralist Labor Party. I understand similar cross-party capitulation is occurring in Canada as did occur in the USA with the election fraud to centralise power, moving towards the one-party state, similar to all socialist republics. Internet searches of the establishment of the West Australian Constitution Act 1889, highlight the similarities of progressive developments towards centralisation of the Victorian Constitutional Amendment Acts and those of West Australian's Constitutional Amendments.

https://www.legislation.wa.gov.au/legislation/statutes.nsf/main_mrtitle_185_homepage.html

The WA upper house, being of similar structure with Victoria, is divided into regions, with fixed terms of Parliament and elections aligned with the lower house, with only half the Legislative Council standing each 4 year election cycle. This brings into question our various Constitutional Models.

What it is Not

Our constitutional models are not republican (with legislative power vested in the President through executive orders). The Queen's Representative is integral within the parliament, (except for South Australia), yet stands apart from initiating laws, and is quite limited within the process of government by acting only from advice given by Her Ministers.

Most state and federal constitutions identify the parliament as consisting of the (Governor or Governor General) Queen's Representative, Upper House and Lower House. Western Australian Parliament has no history of the Queen's Representative ever dismissing the popular government nor of unnecessarily causing an election. Providing the government has control of the legislative assembly (lower house) governing continues unchallenged from Her Majesty's Representative and from now also Her Majesties Loyal Opposition.

<https://www.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/2019-08/Dynamics%20in%20Government.pdf>

Accountability Between Houses of Parliament

Previous *On Targets* have discussed these checks and balances within our constitution/s against centralising power in one house or in one person.

With the passing of the annual Appropriation Bills (taxation and government spending), comes the opportunity for the Upper House to withhold supply to trigger by convention an early election. The function of the Upper House is to review legislation and of holding the Executive (read administration) of government to account. Its purpose is not to be a rubber stamp, but rather to consider the region, (or federally the state), as a whole. The principle of these separation of powers distributes power to govern across the (legislative) Parliament, the Executive (administration) and then adjudication by an independent Judiciary. If the voting public give due consideration to the importance of these 'checks and balances' from the upper house, voting patterns could be significantly improved.

Balance or Powers

As a consequence of the 1975 Whitlam dismissal and subsequent election, the Conservative government held significant control of the federal parliament. As was also done when similar imbalances of power occurred in Victoria and WA parliaments, constitutional alterations occurred when the balance of power was skewed.

Injecting alternative thoughts into the body politic is critical to maintaining homeostasis. Only one point of view rapidly deteriorates towards dictatorship.

The Victorian Premier repeatedly shut down the Parliament last year to suit his totalitarian purposes. The role and function of every state member of the Victorian Parliament ceased to exist during the lockstep/ lockdown. No dissenting voice was heard, not even from the independents, and especially from the conservatives inside or even outside of parliament with press releases.

It's appropriate to make mention of the potential return of John Anderson into the National Party hierarchy. The conservative leadership is on the nose and deservedly so.

Limited Executive Powers

Limited Constitutional Monarchy is similar in structure to republicanism, in that both systems are trinitarian. Australia's Limited Constitutional Monarchy identifies only specified power to the federal government. Head of Powers is limited within our Federal Constitution Section 51 to just 39 subsections. Attempts to erode this limited power of the federal government has continued since Federation. The Conservative government after the 1975 Dismissal prepared the ground for the 1983 High Court Franklin Dam decision. It was an orchestrated affair which culminated in the 4:3 judgement handing inordinate new powers to the federal government over and above the states. Coalition and Labor worked as one to bring this significant constitutional alteration of power into existence.

<http://envlaw.com.au/tasmanian-dam-case/>

<http://www6.austlii.edu.au/cgi-bin/viewdoc/au/cases/cth/HCA/1983/21.html>

It's difficult to appreciate the treachery of so many people who appear to have different points of view, yet all working together to cause a further centralising of power. Bob Hawke's 1984 Speech to the Fabian Society explains the intent of this ongoing policy of centralisation here: <https://alor.org/Storage/Library/Hawke%20RJ%20-%20Address%20to%20the%20Fabian%20Society%20.html>

The High Water Mark of Past Eras

Merry England existed as a consequence of the greatest minds in England across several centuries pursuing the Canon. (*Seek ye first...and all these things*)

The Canon is that 'thread of truth' that permeates all things. The great English minds of that era predominantly concentrated in the realm of law, but the Canon is within everything. Douglas referred to the Canon as getting things just right, whether it's sailing a boat or building a bridge. Those great English minds analysed and then developed the Canon-Law based on those threads of truth, being above man's law, laws for all men, for all time, and for all places, eventually evolving into what we now refer to as the Common Law.

In pursuing this Canon, was the establishment of separate realms of authority, the temporal courts (laws from government), and the spiritual courts (divine laws for all men, for all time, for all places). The judges, being ecclesiastical—experts in the Canon law, would sit to assess cases of a spiritual nature and then equally turn

to assess cases of a temporal nature. The judges were personally trained and schooled within both realms of thought by some of the oldest and most well-established schools of law in England—Oxford and Cambridge.

The Canon is a Trinity In Unity

In pursuing truth, Christ's words "*Render unto Caesar the things that belong to Caesar, and Render unto God the things that belong to God*" energises the tri-union relationship, held in equilibrium, balance, homeostasis, by His statement concerning 'government, the people and the church': a trinity in unity. The separate courts to determine Canon and Temporal law were independent, but also co-dependent as an integral whole of government. The validity of any law could be tested against the Canon to see if it was proper rather than just black letter law. The validity and limits of government was tested against the Canon Laws of God. The court judgements found that all men are born free, and none could be held in bondage. Feudalism, with serfs tied to the soil and included within property purchases, ended.

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/OSullivan_R_Christian_Philosophy_In_The_Common_Law_Compressed.pdf

Richard O'Sullivan walks you through the development of Canonical understanding across those merry centuries, then summarises the destruction of the Canon Law Courts and also the Common Law with the embodiment of both King and Pope by the work of Vicegerent (official administrative deputy of a ruler) and Vicar-General Thomas Cromwell and King Henry VIII.

Lord High Chancellor of England Thomas More and Cardinal John Fisher both suffered martyrdom rather than surrender to Cromwell's and Henry's tyranny—Thomas More: "I die in and for the faith of the Holy Catholic (universal) Church, the King's good servant but God's first".

This action by Thomas Cromwell and Henry VIII emasculated the 'voice of the Church' within the Trinitarian relationship of the people, government and God (church) held in equilibrium, a balancing of power.

(Puritan leader Oliver Cromwell was the great-grandson of Sir Richard Cromwell, Thomas Cromwell's nephew, being an integral player in the development of circumstances leading on to the Dutch merchant led invasion by William of Orange

100 years after Thomas Cromwell)

Lesser and Greater Trinities

With the scandals perpetuated by the mainstream media of the Royal family, comes a direct affront to our own confidence in our constitutional arrangements.

House of Lords Emasculated

In Britain, in 1909, the UK House of Lords voted against the "People's Budget", precipitating two general elections and the passing of the *Parliament Act 1911*, which limited the power of the House of Lords to withhold supply (originating) from the House of Commons. The Parliament Act of 1911, and further reinforced with the complimentary Act of 1949,

effectively made the British Parliament unicameral, no longer bicameral but in name. Lords were emasculated as was the church by Thomas Cromwell and Henry VIII. With the Dutch merchant led William of Orange invasion of Britain in 1688, came the end of absolute monarchy. Henry VIII (1491-1547) had served his purpose of unifying the temporal and spiritual crowns in himself.

Absolute Monarchy to Absolute Parliament

These three actions in concert, placed Parliament (the House of Commons) in Great Britain as supreme power and authority, both temporal and spiritual. We have gone from 12-1300s merry England to an elected dictatorship in 1949 Britain, even with the evolving voter franchise. The frontbench-ers of the major parties (acting in concert using party discipline), have removed any 'voice of the people' out of this trinitarian division of power of Limited Constitutional Government.

Reserve Powers of the Crown

In Australia the Monarch's Representative (Governor or Governor General) have twice found it necessary to dismiss the government of the day.

In 1932, the then NSW Governor Philip Game, dismissed the government of Premier Jack Lang by using the reserve powers of the Crown, and appointed United Australia Party leader Bertram Stevens as premier. Stevens formed a coalition with Michael Bruxner's Country Party and immediately called an election, at which he defeated Lang's NSW Labor Party in a landslide, with the NSW Labor Party losing 31 seats.

On 11 November 1975, Governor-General Sir John Kerr handed a letter to Gough Whitlam terminating his appointment as Chief Advisor and Head of Government. Kerr also terminated the appointment of Whitlam's ministers—effectively, of his government. The letter identified section 64 of the Australian Constitution as the authority for this action.

<https://www.naa.gov.au/learn/learning-resources/learning-resource-themes/government-and-democracy/constitution-and-referendums/letter-gough-whitlam-sir-john-kerr-dismissing-him-prime-minister-1975>

With the political party system of discipline across both Houses of Parliament, had Whitlam been successful in forcing through supply, this may have permanently emasculated our upper house as happened in Britain.

Monarchy as Institution

The unrelenting media pursuit of scandal within the Royal family diminishes our confidence and resolve in maintaining the equilibrium of distributed power across the 'trinity in unity' branches of our governmental system of limited Constitutional Monarchy. An excellent book on the Reserve powers of the Monarch's Representatives is by the 1944 Labor Attorney General and former High Court Judge HV Evatt: *The King and His Dominion Governors* available here: https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Evatt_HV-The_King_and_His_Dominion_Governors.pdf

There is such a wealth of important information for those who are willing to put in the necessary effort of research to achieve greater understanding.

The election results from WA indicate a landslide orchestrated in concert by both major parties and the Main Stream Media towards the one party state. Our political crisis continues unabated while the electorate slumbers oblivious to the coming catastrophe of dictatorship in Australia.

Overseas contacts report the same surrender of the conservative party in Canada to the dictates of the liberal (socialist) woke agenda. This is in concert in all conservative parties, including within Australia, to centralise power into 'only one voice from the government to the governed'.

If you are of the view that Putin is a Christian because he holds phot-ops in a church like our PM, you need to think again. The church in Russia is as much controlled as any other state organism is controlled, similar to Britain's Church of England. Putin's policies (*see their fruits*) are totalitarian as are Xi's of China. Both socialist republics are run by central bankers. The presidents are simply frontispieces for public consumption.

So it is with our own politicians. They may tailor their press releases to garnish votes from certain areas of the electorate, as recently did Andrew Hastie and George Christensen. What were they doing when the Port of Darwin lease was being signed, or the Chinese airstrip in NW-WA was being built? Did they do all that they possibly could to bring the government to account?

If you place faith in them or others from the other major parties, challenge them to run as independents to objectively represent their electorate above party security.

The Liberal / Nationals are currently on an election footing and attempting to garnish votes by deliberately selecting candidates that suit the swing voters in marginal electorates. Those potential candidates may not even be aware of the sinister purpose behind this strategy.

Once inside the political party machine we have had recurring examples of those before who were ineffective. It was the rare individual like Bob Such of South Australia or Ted Mack of NSW who, once outside of the party machine, represented their electorate diligently.

Challenge those in political parties now, before a federal election is called, whom you place your faith in to move outside the party machine, to restore integrity to our institution of parliament, to represent the electorate. This will be a sobering exercise. By staying securely inside this corrupting party machine they endorse it's purpose - policy to circumvent our parliamentary institution and move towards a one party state. They will not risk integrity over security because they are the problem and not part of any answer against totalitarianism. We have not seen real independence within our parliaments for nearly 200 years since the political party system came into being – 1830s in Britain.

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Belloc_Chesterton-The_Party_System.pdf

The Foundations Of Liberty By Rev AG Fellows

...The conversion of the Angles began with the mission of Augustine in 597 A.D. and he made his headquarters at Canterbury and became the first Archbishop. Prior to this Christianity had grown up amongst the British, but the invasion of the Angles had forced Christians to the west, to Wales and Cornwall. It was left to a new mission altogether to begin the conversion of those conquerors. What is remarkable is that within 100 years the Church in England became fully organised, and the genius behind this was Archbishop Theodore, a Greek monk, who succeeded to the See of Canterbury in 668. He became very much attached to the country. When he died in 690 he had laid the foundations of our present parochial system, had divided up huge dioceses into more workable ones, and had set up diocesan and national synods. It was the regular sub-ordination of priest to bishop, of bishop to primate; in the administration of the Church, which supplied a mould on which the civil organisation of the State quickly shaped itself; and it was the Church synods which by their example led the way to the national parliament, just as it was the canons enacted in those synods which led the way to a national system of law. So, as far back as the seventh century, it was the organisation and settlement of a united Anglo-Saxon Church that suggested to our ancestors the possibility of a single civil community. The Church was united before Theodore died in 690, but it took another 300 years before there was a united kingdom....

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Fellows_AG-Foundations_Of_Liberty.pdf

AL...Without knowing where we came from and how we managed to get here, we cannot find our way out of this maize of confusion. Freedom emanates from it's roots within the Christian Faith. No body of ideas has ever been able to lift mankind out of tyranny and slavery except when that lone island called England chose to *..first seek the kingdom of God and all these things would be added...* **The parliamentary seat belongs to the electorate, not any political party. It is the electorate that must wrestle back control of their representative to ensure fidelity and integrity in their parliaments.**

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

Donations can be performed by direct bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or cheques to: 'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574 **eMail:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

<https://alor.org/> our main website and repository of the

Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement 'Archives'.

On Target is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.